WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

٧.

<u>Lı</u>	uis Lec	<u>nardo</u>	Villarreal-Del Villar	Case Number:	12-1053M
			Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a det blished: (Check one or both, as applicable.)	tention hearing has b	een submitted on 1/18/13. I conclude that the
	•		onvincing evidence the defendant is a dar this case.	nger to the communi	y and require the detention of the defendant
X		epondera this case		erious flight risk and re	equire the detention of the defendant pending
			PART I FIND	INGS OF FACT	
	(1)		.C. § 3142(e)(2)(A): The defendant has be een a federal offense if a circumstance gi	,	leral offense)(state or local offense that would irisdiction had existed) that is
			a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S	.C. § 3156(a)(4).	
			an offense for which the maximum sente	ence is life imprisonn	nent or death.
			an offense for which a maximum term o	f imprisonment of ter	years or more is prescribed in
			a felony that was committed after the dedescribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(efendant had been co C), or comparable st	nvicted of two or more prior federal offenses ate or local offenses.
			any felony that involves a minor victimed device (as those terms are defined in seregister under 18 U.S.C. § 2250.	or that involves the pction 921), or any oth	possession or use of a firearm or destructive er dangerous weapon, or involves a failure to
	(2)	18 U.S. pending	.C. § 3142(e)(2)(B): The offense describe g trial for a federal, state or local offense.	ed in finding 1 was co	mmitted while the defendant was on release
	(3)	18 U.S. of the c	.C. \S 3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not more defendant from imprisonment) for the offe	than five years has ense described in find	elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release ing 1.
	(4)	reason	gs Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttal ably assure the safety of (an)other perso d this presumption.	ble presumption that n(s) and the commu	no condition or combination of conditions will nity. I further find that the defendant has not
			Alternativ	e Findings	
	(1)	18 U.S	.C. § 3142(e)(3): There is probable caus	e to believe that the	defendant has committed an offense
			for which a maximum term of imprisonm	nent of ten years or m	nore is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a), or 23	32(b).	
			under 18 U.S.C. § 1581-1594, for which a	a maximum term of im	prisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed.
			an offense involving a minor victim unde	er section	2
	(2)	The de condition	efendant has not rebutted the presumpti	on established by fir	nding 1 that no condition or combination of s required and the safety of the community.

¹Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

 $^{{}^{2}} Insert \ as \ applicable \ 18 \ U.S.C. \ \S\$1201, \ 1591, 2241-42, \ 2244(a)(1), \ 2245, \ 2251, \ 2251A, \ 2252(a)(1), \ 2252(a)(2), \ 2252(a)(3, \ 2252(a)(4), \ 2260, \ 2421, \ 2422, \ 2423, \ or \ 2425.$

Case 2:13-cr-00171-SMM Document 6 Filed 01/23/13 Page 2 of 3

Alternative Finding

	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.
(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).
(4)	
	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)
(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:
2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
_	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that: The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.
X	
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated
(2)	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history.

 $^{^3}$ The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

Case 2:13-cr-00171-SMM Document 6 Filed 01/23/13 Page 3 of 3

Defendant has no legal status in the United States or documents that would allow him to remain in the United States. He would be subject to mandatory detention by United States Immigration authorities.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: January 23, 2013

James F. Metcalf United States Magistrate Judge